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ABSTRACT:
Ameloblastomas are benign asymptomatic intraosseous lesions that affect the bones of the maxillomandibular complex, interfering both in function and facial esthetic appearance. Unicystic ameloblastoma has become established as a distinct clinicopathological entity on the general basis of its unicystic radiographic appearance, histologic findings, association with an unerupted tooth, occurrence in the mandible of younger patients, and a recurrence rate after conservative surgical treatment lower than that of its conventional counterpart. Surgical management of ameloblastoma has been a controversial subject. Numerous excellent reviews have addressed this topic. The debate stems from confusion in the literature regarding histologic type of the tumor and from lack of standardization of definitions for "conservative" and "radical" surgical approaches. In this paper we emphasize the importance of enucleation with chemical cauterization followed by decompression as a surgical modality for the management of unicystic ameloblastoma in a pediatric patient.
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INTRODUCTION:
Unicystic ameloblastoma, described by Robinson and Martinez in 1977, is one of three clinical variants of ameloblastoma, the other two being the more common intraosseous solid or multicystic (conventional) ameloblastoma, and the rarely encountered peripheral ameloblastoma. Unicystic ameloblastoma has become established as a distinct clinicopathological entity on the general basis of its unicystic radiographic appearance, histologic findings, association with an unerupted tooth, occurrence in the mandible of younger patients, and a recurrence rate after conservative surgical treatment lower than that of its conventional counterpart.

Ackermann et al in 1988 reclassified unicystic ameloblastoma into three types with prognostic and therapeutic implications. Despite its benign
histological appearance, ameloblastoma is clinically persistent, disfiguring and can kill from invasion of vital structures, super-infection, recurrence or distant metastases.

Ameloblastoma can occur at any age, but most cases are seen between the 3rd and 5th decades. They are predominantly seen in the middle age group. However, these tumors are also known to occur in children (8.7% to 15.0%). The tumour does not show a sexual predilection. Approximately 85% of ameloblastomas arise in the mandible, especially in the molar-ramus region, and present radiographically as a multilocular or unilocular radiolucency. Ameloblastomas have been classified histologically into solid/multicystic, extraosseous/peripheral, desmoplastic, and unicystic variants.4

Treatment of mandibular ameloblastomas includes conservative measures such as marsupialization, enucleation and curettage; and radical treatments such as disarticulation, or marginal and segmental resection.5

The debate stems from confusion in the literature regarding histologic type of the tumor and from lack of standardization of definitions for “conservative” and “radical” surgical approaches.5 In this paper we emphasize the importance of decompression followed by enucleation as a surgical modality for the management of unicystic ameloblastoma in a pediatric patient.

CASE REPORT:

A 10 years old female reported to our department complaining of swelling on the right side of the face since two months (Figure 1). Child was not comfortable with swelling because of unaesthetics as it was identified by the child ten days back. Extra oral examination revealed facial asymmetry on right side of face, the swelling was oval shaped, measuring about 2x1 cm extending anteriorly 2cm from the right ear lobe to 2cm behind the right corner of the mouth (Figure 2). Intra oral examination revealed obliteration of vestibule in relation to 46 and 85.

Orthopantamogram (OPG) revealed radiolucency measuring about 4x2 cm. The lesion extending from distal aspect of right deciduous 2nd molar to the inferior border, posteriorly upto the ramus of the mandible leaving one cm healthy bone behind with a displaced and impacted 47 (Figure 3). After the clinical and radiological examination, incisional biopsy was performed. The histopathological report was suggestive of unicystic ameloblastoma. It showed cystic lining with columnar basal cells, nucleus palisading with polarization and hyperchromatism, cytoplasmic vacuolization with intercellular spacing. Strands of odontogenic epithelium were seen extending into the connective tissue from the lining and producing plexiform pattern with stellate reticulum like tissue between the strands (Figure 4).

Considering the age of the patient and size of the lesion a conservative management was planned. Decompression was carried for 4 months with regular chlorhexidine dressings and monitored twice weekly. Periodic OPG’s were taken, after 4 months radiographic assessment showed a reduction in the size of lesion by half of the initial size. So enucleation was done under LA (Figure 5 and 6). Post operatively patient was asymptomatic (Figure 7). Neurological function was maintained. A series of radiographic examination was done for duration of one year which showed complete development of bone and good healing noted (Figure 8).

DISCUSSION:

The treatment of choice and the surgical management of mandibular ameloblastomas still remain controversial, and it is not easy to choose from the different approaches that have been proposed.5 In the literature, recurrence after conservative (non-resection) treatment of conventional ameloblastoma ranges from 50 to 90%.6 But in the present case there had being no signs of recurrence what so ever it was after a follow up of 1year.

Extensive resections have been used to treat solid ameloblastomas to prevent possible recurrences. However, these surgeries are invariably associated with serious problems for the patient, such as masticatory dysfunction, mutilation, facial deformity, and abnormal mandibular movements. The rate of recurrence is a crucial factor for coherent planning, but other aspects are also important and must be considered in the therapeutic approach, including emphasizing morbidity and the patient’s quality of life.7
Figure 1: Patient complains of the swelling and discomfort on the right side of face since 2 months.

Figure 2: Worms view showing diffuse swelling on the right side of the jaw.

Figure 3: Orthopantamogram (OPG) revealed radiolucency measuring about 4x2 cm. The lesion extending from distal aspect of right deciduous 2nd molar to the inferior border, posteriorly up to the ramus of the mandible leaving one cm healthy bone behind with a displaced and impacted 47.

Figure 4: H and E section shows epithelial lining with loosely disposed cell’s resembling stellate reticulum. Basal layer can be seen showing a hyperchromatic and polarized basal cells.

Figure 5: Enucleation done under LA

Figure 6: Extraction of 46 done

Figure 7: Intraoral images of the patient with no evidence of recurrence and no swelling noted 1 year post operatively.

Figure 8: 1 year post operative OPG showing complete development of bone and good healing noted.
A unicystic intraosseous ameloblastoma deserves separate consideration, because of its distinct clinical, radiographic, and histopathologic characteristics. Three histopathologic variants of unicystic ameloblastoma have been described. In the first type, luminal unicystic ameloblastoma, the tumor is confined to the luminal surface of the cyst, and the lesion has a fibrous cyst wall, with a lining consisting partially or totally of ameloblastic epithelium. In the second variant, intraluminal unicystic ameloblastoma, at least 1 nodule of the ameloblastoma projects from the cystic lining into the lumen of the cyst. These nodules can be relatively small or largely fill the cystic lumen. In the third type, mural unicystic ameloblastoma, the fibrous wall of the cyst is infiltrated by a typical follicular or plexiform ameloblastoma. The extent and depth of ameloblastic proliferation can vary considerably.8

According to Gardner the diagnosis of the unicystic type is based on 2 factors: the lesion must be clinically and radiographically uniloculated and the microscopic examination must show a single cystic lesion with a covering epithelium of ameloblastic cells.6 That is, for the lesion to be unicystic, it is necessary to consider all clinical, radiographic, and histopathologic results. However, there is a tendency for all lesions with a unicystic clinical and radiographic aspect to be considered unicystic ameloblastomas which is a mistake.

In the present case considering the age of the patient decompression followed by Enucleation was chosen as a surgical modality for the management of unicystic ameloblastoma. More aggressive primary surgery in the form of resection would basically eliminate the risk of recurrence, but this cannot be justified for unicystic ameloblastoma in view of the inevitable morbidity. A primary treatment option with minimal morbidity but which can adequately or sufficiently control the risk of recurrence is, therefore, highly desirable.

Decompression before enucleation with peripheral ostectomy is done according to the literature.9 But in the present case decompression was done every biweekly for the first one month, and then followed by enucleation with extraction of 46 and 47. Post operatively patient is asymptomatic and confirmed radiographically. A series radiographic examination was done for one year. Unicystic ameloblastoma often can be treated successfully with less aggressive surgery than that needed for multicystic ameloblastoma.10

CONCLUSION:

The prognosis for lesions of this proportion is dubious. In young patients in whom resection will result in mutilation and in small lesions that respond well to conservative treatment, recommend treatment - enucleation and curettage.11 However, in extensive lesions and the involvement of adjacent tissues, recommends block resection. Regardless of the form of treatment, patients with unicystic Ameloblastic must be followed up for a long period to enable the early detection of possible recurrence.
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