# Facial Image Scale: An Innovative Scale for the Assessment of Child's Dental Anxiety

# K. Srinivasan

Department of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry, CKS Teja Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India

Email for correspondence: skskskpedo@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

**Context:** Dental fear denotes a normal but unpleasant emotional reaction to specific stimuli perceived as threatening to occur during the usual clinical practice in dentistry. **Aim and Objectives:** The present study was aimed to examine the children concerning dental anxiety (DA) using the modified child dental anxiety scale faces (MCDASf). **Materials and Methods:** The present cross-sectional study was performed among 10–14 years old in private schools, Vellore. The questionnaires were administered to the children to determine the DA. **Results:** The results of the present study showed that more than half of them responded: "Worried a lot/very worried." Females had a higher significant total mean MCDASf score as compared to males. There is an association between DA and oral health status. **Conclusion:** The present study suggests that many children with complex and additional support needs can communicate their DA using a simplified questionnaire format administered in a familiar classroom setting.

Key words: Children, dental fear, modified child dental anxiety

## **INTRODUCTION**

Fear of the dentist has been ranked fourth among every day worries.<sup>[1]</sup> Dental fear/anxiety (DFA) is a condition that affects children and adolescents, as well as the adult population.<sup>[2]</sup> Dental fear denotes a normal but unpleasant emotional reaction to specific stimuli perceived as threatening to occur during the usual clinical practice in dentistry.<sup>[2,3]</sup>

Dental treatment is considered an unpleasant experience. Folayan and Fatusi define dental anxiety (DA) as a "feeling of apprehension about dental treatment, which is not necessarily connected to a specific external stimulus."<sup>[4]</sup> It is a common and distressing problem. The prevalence of DA among children ranges between 5% and 20%, with a mean incidence of 11%.<sup>[5]</sup>

DA remains a challenge in treating children and has significant implications for the child, parents,

Quick Response Code	Article Info:
	doi: 10.5866/2019.11.10125
	Received: 18-09-2019
	Revised: 27-10-2019
	Accepted: 10-11-2019
	Available Online: 02-01-2020, (www.
	nacd.in) © NAD, 2020 - All rights reserved

dental staff, and the health-care system. Treating children with DA is costly and time-consuming.<sup>[6]</sup> Apart from the disruptive behavior during dental treatment and the possible need for other behavior management measures (including sedation and general anesthesia), DA can also affect the child's oral health and general wellbeing. The effect of DA on individuals can range from irregular attendance to total avoidance.<sup>[7]</sup>

The etiology of Dental anxiety is believed to be multifactorial and complex.<sup>[8]</sup> Multiple risk factors have been identified by the previous studies, including parental fear, general fear, and previous negative dental experience of dental pain.<sup>[9]</sup>

Anxiety is usually the most likely response to dental stimuli and is most commonly seen in children during their first dental visit. Therefore, the dentists need to identify the severity of anxiety in children using an acceptable technique to measure it.<sup>[10]</sup> An anxious child in a dental clinic poses a problem not only for the child himself but also for his family. Besides, outcomes associated with poor oral health may be grave.<sup>[11]</sup> The present study was aimed to assess its psychometric properties in a sample of highly anxious dental patients applying for treatment at a dental fear clinic.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Research Design**

It was an interventional kind of study that was examiner blind. The review was an in vivo crossover type. Clinical trials carried out at Vellore. Volunteers were selected, fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Informed consent obtained from parents and birth certificates were checked to confirm the date of birth of the children.

# **Ethical Considerations**

Confidentiality of cases records was maintained.

# **Inclusion Criteria and Exclusion Criteria**

- I. Inclusion Criteria
- II. The following criteria were included in the study
  - a. Children 10-14 years of age.

b. All the children were in good general health.

- III. Exclusion criteria
- IV. The following criteria were excluded from the study
  - a. Children who were above 14 years of age.
  - b. Children with systemic conditions.

# **Study Sample**

The sample consisted of 368 middle schoolaged children aged between 10 and 14 years who were selected randomly from schools. The study adopted a child-centered approach, and as far as possible, attempted to collect data from children in participating schools to obtain measures of DA.

## **Survey Distribution**

Participating schools were distributed an information letter, consent form, and parent's questionnaire to parents of children in the relevant classes. Once parental questionnaires had been returned, teachers administered the children's questionnaire as a class exercise at school. School staff felt children would be more relaxed and cooperative if a familiar figure introduced the questionnaire.

The questionnaire was administered and distributed to all children in the classroom setting by their school teachers and classroom assistants. The teachers and assistants provided support with reading and understanding of the questions but did not influence the children's answers. The child's questionnaire included an explanation of the study and its voluntary nature and asked for child consent to be indicated.

## The Questionnaire

In the present study, the selected questions were revised by three experts in pediatric dentistry interested in behavior management of children. The study variables were assessed using two questionnaires. The first questionnaire was for the parents and included the consent form. The second questionnaire was to investigate the different factors affecting dental fear-facial image scale (FIS). The factors included: Pattern of previous dental exposure and the child's behavior during those visits. The questionnaire was designed in both in English and regional language (Tamil).

## Method of Data Analysis

The child's questionnaire included the modified child dental anxiety scale (faces) (MCDASf). The scale covers eight items ranging from attendance at the dentist to extractions and general anesthetic.<sup>[12]</sup>

Teachers in the participating schools felt that many of the children would have difficulties with the original MCDASf five-face scale.

- Not worried = 1
- Very slightly worried = 2
- Fairly worried = 3
- Worried a lot = 4
- Very worried = 5.

A three-faces scale was used, with equivalent scoring (not worried = 1; fairly worried = 3; and very worried = 5). The three-face scale was also used to measure reported anxiety at the most recent dental visit.<sup>[13]</sup>

#### Statistical Tools Used for the Study

Completed surveys were coded, and spreadsheets were created for data entry and were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 21.0. ANOVA test was applied with a P < 0.05considered being statistically significant. Findings were presented with the help of statistical analysis.

## **Analysis and Interpretation of Data**

The survey results were manually entered into a personal computer by a research assistant who was not aware of the study objectives. The data were "cleaned" by checking for entries outside of legitimate ranges and for inconsistent codes; the necessary corrections were made by manually rechecking the surveys. Percentages for each variable were based on the number of respondents for the corresponding question.

## RESULTS

#### **Descriptive Statistics**

The consent form and parent questionnaires were distributed to 375 children; out of which 368 children had completed, the questionnaires the MCDASf were included in the statistical analysis. Thus, the response rate for all children was 98%.

There were 180 (49%) male participants and 188 (51%) female participants. All children were

aged between 10 and 14 years with a mean age of  $12 \pm 1.4$ .

Table 1 shows the demographic backgrounds and dental histories of the study population. Tables 2 and 3 show the mean values for all items of MCDASf scales. According to MCDASf, having an injection in the gum, having a tooth taken out, having a filling, and being put to sleep to have a treatment were the most fearful items. Table 4 shows the mean score comparison of MCDASf score based on variables among the gender.

Table 1: Socio-demographic variables of respondents				
Individual scenario - Modified child dental anxiety scale (faces)				
Variables	Frequency n (%)	Mean±SD	Inferential statistics	
Total	368/375`		98.1%	
Age (years)				
10–14 years	11.9±1	.4	$P\!<\!0.0001~\mathrm{HS}$	
Gender				
Male	180 (48.9)	184±4	<i>P</i> <0.0001 HS	
Female	188 (51.08)			
Body mass index				
Under/Normal weight	232 (63.04)	184±48	<i>P</i> <0.0001 HS	
Overweight/obese	136 (36.9)			
Birth order				
First	108 (29.3)	$122.6 \pm 48.47$	<i>P</i> <0.0001 HS	
Second	188 (51.08)			
Third	72 (19.5)			
Number of siblings				
No sibling	143 (38.8)	$122.6 \pm 52.2$	<i>P</i> <0.0001 HS	
One sibling	174(47.2)			
More than one sibling	51 (13.8)			
Child dental visit				
Fist	242 (65.7)	$122.6 \pm 84.6$	$P \! < \! 0.0001 \; \mathrm{HS}$	
Second	71 (19.2)			
More than two times	55 (14.9)			
Marital status of parents				
Married/cohabiting	326 (88.5)	184±142	P=0.1971  NS	
Divorced/separated	42 (11.4)			
Main caregiver				
Mother	149 (40.4)	$92 \pm 54.5$	$P\!<\!0.0001~\mathrm{HS}$	
Father	133 (36.1)			
Grandparents	76 (20.6)			
Guardian	10(2.71)			

(Contd...)

Table 1: (Continued)

Individual scenario - Modified child dental anxiety scale (faces)

Variables	Frequency n (%)	Mean±SD	Inferential statistics
The child is accompanied by			
Mother	189 (51.3)	$122.6 \pm 47.0$	<i>P</i> <0.0001 HS
Farther	85 (23.2)		
Both	94 (25.54)		
Parental dental anxiety			
Low	114 (30.9)	$122.6 \pm 76.9$	<i>P</i> <0.0001 HS
Moderate	221(60.05)		
High	33 (8.9)		
Child comfortable talking to			
Receptionist	45 (12.2)	92±66.1	<i>P</i> <0.0001 HS
Dental assistant	188 (51.08)		
Dentist	117 (31.7)		
None	18 (4.8)		
How did the dental phobia start?			
Don't know	194 (52.7)	$122.6 \pm 50.9$	<i>P</i> <0.0001 HS
Bad experience	78 (21.1)		
I heard of a family member	96 (26.08)		

Citation: Shilpa W. Influence of parental dental anxiety on dental health outcomes and utilization of dental services of their children. Acta Scientific Dental Sciences 2018;2.7:7-12. NS: Not significant; HS: Highly significant

Table 2: Responses to modified child dental anxiety scale (faces): Child report-male				
Individual scenario				
Variables	Frequency	Response (n)		
	n (%)		Fairly worried (score 3)	Very worried (score 5)
Going to the dentist, generally	180 (97.8)	135	20	25
Having your teeth looked at	180 (97.8)	162	6	12
Having your teeth scraped and polished	$169\ (91.8)$	140	19	10
Having an injection in the gum	179~(99.4)	40	20	119
Having a filling	$174\ (94.5)$	87	66	21
Having a tooth taken out	178 (96.7)	43	24	111
Being put to sleep to have treatment	$169\ (91.8)$	29	30	110
Having a mixture of gas and air, which will help you to feel comfortable for treatment but cannot put you to sleep	172 (93.4)	27	27	118

Citation: Howard KE, Freeman R. Reliability and validity of a faces version of the modified child dental anxiety scale. Int J Paediatric Dent 2007;17:281-8

## DISCUSSION

The survey was conducted to identify DFA among children as it has been reported to be

associated with a range of adverse behavioral and dental characteristics. Chellappah *et al.* conducted a study to identify the presence of dental fear

Table 3: Responses to modified child dental anxiety scale (faces): Child report-female					
Individual scenario					
Variables	Frequency n (%)	Response-(n)			
		Not worried (score 1)	Fairly worried (score 3)	Very worried (score 5)	
Going to the dentist, generally	188 (98.9)	133	33	22	
Having your teeth looked at	182(95.7)	149	15	18	
Having your teeth scraped and polished	$177\ (93.1)$	118	35	24	
Having an injection in the gum	186(97.8)	45	29	112	
Having a filling	169(88.9)	70	65	34	
Having a tooth taken out	182(95.7)	35	32	115	
Being put to sleep to have treatment	167(87.8)	40	21	106	
Having a mixture of gas and air, which will help you to feel comfortable for treatment but cannot put you to sleep	176 (92.6)	36	24	116	

Citation: Howard KE, Freeman R. Reliability and validity of a faces version of the modified child dental anxiety scale. Int J Paediatric Dent 2007;17:281-8

Table 4: Mean score comparison of	modified child dental anxiety	v scale faces score based on variables
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	--

Individual scenario				
Variables	Response		Inferential statistics	
	Male	Female		
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD		
Going to the dentist generally	$60 \pm 53.07$	$62.6 \pm 49.9$	<i>P</i> <0.0001 HS	
Having your teeth looked at	$60 \pm 72.1$	$60.6 \pm 62.4$	$P\!<\!\!0.0001\mathrm{HS}$	
Having your teeth scraped and polished	$56.3 \pm 59.2$	$59 \pm 41.9$	$P\!<\!\!0.0001\mathrm{HS}$	
Having an injection in the gum	$59.6 \pm 42.7$	$62 \pm 35.9$	$P\!<\!\!0.0001\mathrm{HS}$	
Having a filling	$58 \pm 27.53$	$56.3 \pm 15.9$	P < 0.0001  HS	
Having a tooth taken out	$59.3 \pm 37.3$	$60.6 \pm 38.4$	P < 0.0001  HS	
Being put to sleep to have a treatment	$59.3 \pm 37.9$	$55.6 \pm 36.4$	P < 0.0001  HS	
Having a mixture of gas and air which will help you to feel comfortable for treatment but cannot put you to sleep	57.3±47.8	$58.6 \pm 40.8$	<i>P</i> <0.0001 HS	

Citation: Howard KE, Freeman R. Reliability and validity of a faces version of the modified child dental anxiety scale. Int J Paediatric Dent 2007;17:281-8. HS: Highly significant

among children as it is considered to be the main barrier to the successful completion of dental treatment of child patients. The need for assessing and addressing childhood DFA at an early stage should be emphasized to enable identification of children with high dental fear and consequently to prevent the negative consequences of high dental fear in them.<sup>[14]</sup> The present study was aimed to evaluate the prevalence of dental fear in children and assess the various related factors and the impact of age and gender on the levels of dental fear.

Rajwar and Goswami reported a higher prevalence of dental fear among female children than compares to males, which were in par with the present study.<sup>[15]</sup> Sunil reported that the children who were having their first visit to the dentist showed a maximum response (28.6%) of FIS score 4, i.e., unhappy, which was in par with the present study.<sup>[16]</sup> Rantavuori *et al.* reported that dental fear was higher among 12- and 15-year old children than among younger ones, which as per the present study.<sup>[17]</sup> In the present study, the dental fear scores had significantly varied between males and females.

#### CONCLUSION

DA is a multifactorial phenomenon that involves alterations that affect the quality of life of those patients suffering from it. Children are not free from its manifestations and consequences, which could become a barrier to receiving adequate dental care and improving the oral health of those who suffer from it.

Based on the results of this study, we recommend using a FIS before providing dental treatment to identify those patients who have higher anxiety levels to assist the decision-making process on how to approach this condition. It is done through adaptation and/or desensitization sessions before undergoing invasive treatments in the hopes of improving the timeliness and adherence to such procedures.

#### Limitations

The measure may not be suitable for children with severe learning disabilities.

#### Recommendation

Further work to conducted to examine the usefulness of MCDASf for children with severe learning disabilities.

#### **Conflict of Interest and Source of Funding**

The author declares that there is no special financial support for this research work from the funding agency and there is no conflict of interest among all authors

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

All the authors express sincere gratitude to all respondents whose honest attention help and support and the participants of the study lead the Research project to worthful outcome. The present study was without any priorities or interest for any of the product in the market.

#### REFERENCES

- Milgrom P, Weinstein P. Dental fears in general practice: New guidelines for assessment and treatment. Int Dent J 1993;43:288-93.
- 2. Taani DQ, El-Qaderi SS, Abu Alhaija ES. Dental anxiety in children and its relationship to dental caries and gingival

condition. Int J Dent Hyg 2005;3:83-7.

- Brogardh-Roth S, Stjernqvist K, Matsson L, Klingberg G. Dental fear and anxiety and oral health behavior in 12- to 14-year-olds born preterm. Int J Paediatric Dent 2010;20:391-9.
- Folayan MO, Fatusi A. Effect of psychological management techniques on specific item score change during the management of dental fear in children. J Clin Pediatr Dent 2005;29:335-40.
- Klingberg G, Broberg AG. Dental fear/anxiety and dental behavior management problems in children and adolescents: A review of prevalence and concomitant psychological factors. Int J Paediatr Dent 2007;17:391-406.
- 6. Buchanan H, Niven N. Further evidence for the validity of the facial image scale. Int J Paediatr Dent 2003;13:368-9.
- McGrath C, Bedi R. The association between dental anxiety and oral health-related quality of life in Britain. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2004;32:67-72.
- Tickle M, Jones C, Buchannan K, Milsom KM, Blinkhorn AS, Humphris GM. A prospective study of dental anxiety in a cohort of children followed from 5 to 9 years of age. Int J Paediatr Dent 2009;19:225-32.
- Porritt J, Buchanan H, Hall M, Gilchrist F, Marshman Z. Assessing children's dental anxiety: A systematic review of current measures. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2013;41:130-42.
- Nigam AG, Marwah N, Goenka P, Chaudhry A. Correlation of general anxiety and dental anxiety in children aged 3 to 5 years: A clinical survey. J Int Oral Health 2013;5:18-24.
- Jimeno FG, Bielsa SY, Fernández CC, Rodríguez AI, Bellido MM. Objective, and subjective measures for assessing anxiety in pediatric dental patients. Eur J Paediatr Dent 2011;12:239-44.
- Howard KE, Freeman R. Reliability and validity of a face version of the modified child dental anxiety scale. Int J Paediatr Dent 2007;17:281-8.
- Cianetti S, Paglia L, Gatto R, Montedori A, Nardone M, Pagano S. Validated psychometric scales to measure dental fear/anxiety among children and adolescents in Italy. A systematic review. Ital J Dental Med 2016;1:9-18.
- 14. Chellappah NK, Vignehsa H, Milgrom P, Lam LG. Prevalence of dental anxiety and fear in children in Singapore. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1990;18:269-71.
- Rajwar AS, Goswami M. Prevalence of dental fear and its causes using three measurement scales among children in New Delhi. J Indian Soc Pedod Prevent Dent 2017;35:128.
- 16. Sunil NS. Etiology and prevalence of dental fear and anxiety analysed using two different measurement scales among children in Chennai a cross-sectional study. J Pharm Sci Res 2019;11:3001-3.
- Rantavuori K, Lahti S, Hausen H, Seppa L, Karkkainen S. Dental fear and oral health and family characteristics of Finnish children. Acta Odontol Scand 2004;62:207-13.

Journal homepage:www.nacd.in